



Can a style guide review and update reduce turn times?

**CSE 2017 Annual Meeting
May 21–23, 2017**

**Midori Baer
Senior Production Manager
PNAS
mbaer@nas.edu**

PNAS
www.pnas.org



Yes.

PNAS
www.pnas.org

PNAS background



- Published 3,100 articles, almost 38K pages in 2016
- Articles are published daily; issues, weekly
- Complex, highly custom workflow
- Style guide represents publishing and style conventions for all major classifications
- Expedited production:
 - 30 calendar days to online
 - 46 to issue

PNAS
www.pnas.org



Our challenge: Inflated turn times

Deep-dive review of delays and workflow to determine root causes

- Existing article schedules and reports concealed delays
- New turn time tracking and reports, isolated two bottlenecks:
 - Copyediting review
 - Processing proof corrections
- **Complex style guide = Our focus today**



Review the style guide ...

- Checked for and culled redundancies
 - Duplication = confusion = time lost
 - Focus on exceptions, leave rules for the standard style manuals
- Reevaluated nonstandard style points
 - More customization = Fewer copyeditors available
 - Fewer copyeditors = Slower turntimes



... And also your proofs

The drag on turn times traced to PNAS style was not just in copyediting.

- How many style points had to be addressed with author proof queries?
- How many author proof queries resulted in responses that needed editorial review and approval?
- How much copyediting is too much copyediting??



Data points and strategic style changes

- Conventional and unconventional data to expose bottlenecks and drive discovery:
 - Turn times
 - Author alterations
- Analysis of copyediting queries and author proof corrections to identify and prioritize the most impactful style changes.
 - Reducing copyeditor time
 - Reduce author proof queries and follow up



Our results

23% reduction in time to online publication
20% reduction in time to issue publication

And we still have more to do!



Thank you.

PNAS
www.pnas.org